



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 09/443863     | 11-19-98    | PA R I K K            | 121-184              |

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

DATE MAILED: 11

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) G S. KISHORE (3) DV GARY PACE  
(2) ART CRAWFORD (4) DY ROBERT SNOW

Date of interview 1-10-01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

#### General

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: claims submitted after final rejection

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record (Green)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr Pace & Dr Snow discussed

the essence of the claimed invention and the differences between Green's instant particles. It would appear that instant sizes are in nm range & the process of preparation is different. Based on this, the following were suggested 1) limit the claims to nm range 2) recite the claims as product by process claims.

③ submit comparative data between instant particles (nm) and Green's (which are in nm range) to show unexpected results. Since the applicant is under first a continuation will be filed  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be presented in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

GOLLAMUDI S. KISHORE, Ph.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's Signature

GROUP 1500